

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Crim. Action No.: 2:22-CR-03-2  
(Judge Kleeh)

JASON LAMELA RODRIGUEZ,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],  
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

On May 11, 2022, the Defendant, Jason Lamela Rodriguez ("Rodriguez"), appeared before United States Magistrate Judge Michael J. Aloia and moved for permission to enter a plea of **GUILTY** to Count Three of the Indictment, charging him with Possession with Intent to Distribute Cocaine - Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2 and 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(C). Rodriguez stated that he understood that the magistrate judge is not a United States District Judge, and Rodriguez consented to pleading before the magistrate judge.

This Court referred Rodriguez's plea of guilty to the magistrate judge for the purpose of administering the allocution, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, making a finding as to whether the plea was knowingly and voluntarily entered, and recommending to this Court whether the plea should be accepted.

USA v. RODRIGUEZ

2:22-CR-03

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],  
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

---

Based upon Rodriguez's statements during the plea hearing, and the Government's proffer establishing that an independent factual basis for the plea existed, the magistrate judge found that Rodriguez was competent to enter a plea, that the plea was freely and voluntarily given, that Rodriguez understood the charges against him and the consequences of his plea, and that a factual basis existed for the tendered plea. The magistrate judge issued a *Report and Recommendation Concerning Plea of Guilty in Felony Case* ("R&R") [ECF No. 44] finding a factual basis for the plea and recommending that this Court accept Rodriguez's plea of guilty to Count Three of the Indictment.

Though it is flagged on the docket that Rodriguez requires an interpreter, Rodriguez unequivocally asserted that he can read and write English and does not need an interpreter.

The magistrate judge **released** Rodriguez on the terms of the Order Setting Conditions of Release [ECF No. 22].

The magistrate judge also directed the parties to file any written objections to the R&R within fourteen (14) days after service of the R&R. He further advised that failure to file objections would result in a waiver of the right to appeal from a

USA v. RODRIGUEZ

2:22-CR-03

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],  
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

---

judgment of this Court based on the R&R. Neither Rodriguez nor the Government filed objections to the R&R.

Accordingly, this Court **ADOPTS** the magistrate judge's R&R [ECF No. 44], provisionally **ACCEPTS** Rodriguez's guilty plea, and **ADJUDGES** him **GUILTY** of the crime charged in Count Three of the Indictment.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3) and U.S.S.G. § 6B1.1(c), the Court **DEFERS** acceptance of the proposed plea agreement until it has received and reviewed the presentence investigation report prepared in this matter.

Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 6A1 et seq., the Court **ORDERS** the following:

1. The Probation Officer shall undertake a presentence investigation of Rodriguez, and prepare a presentence investigation report for the Court;

2. The Government and Rodriguez shall each provide their narrative descriptions of the offense to the Probation Officer by **June 13, 2022**;

3. The presentence investigation report shall be disclosed to Rodriguez, his counsel, and the Government on or before **July 28, 2022**; however, the Probation Officer shall not disclose any

USA v. RODRIGUEZ

2:22-CR-03

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],  
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

---

sentencing recommendations made pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(e)(3);

4. Counsel may file written objections to the presentence investigation report on or before **August 11, 2022**;

5. Responses to objections to the presentence investigation report shall be filed on or before **August 18, 2022**;

6. The Office of Probation shall submit the presentence investigation report with addendum to the Court on or before **September 1, 2022**; and

7. Counsel may file any written sentencing memoranda or statements and motions for departure from the Sentencing Guidelines, including the factual basis for the same, on or before **September 15, 2022**.

The Court further **ORDERS** that prior to sentencing, Rodriguez's counsel shall review with him the revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions adopted by this Court on November 29, 2016, pursuant to the standing order entered by Chief Judge Groh, In Re: Revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions, 3:16-MC-56.

The Court will conduct the **Sentencing Hearing** for Rodriguez on **September 29, 2022**, at **1:00 p.m.**, at the **Elkins, West Virginia**,

USA v. RODRIGUEZ

2:22-CR-03

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 44],  
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

---

point of holding court. If counsel anticipates having multiple witnesses or an otherwise lengthy sentencing hearing, please notify the Judge's chamber staff so that an adequate amount of time can be scheduled.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to counsel of record and all appropriate agencies.

DATE: June 6, 2022

Handwritten signature of Thomas S. Klee in black ink.

THOMAS S. KLEE, CHIEF JUDGE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA